



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,100	06/26/2001	Peter K. Chow	F0999/2014P	4424
7590 11/28/2005			EXAMINER	
Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C.			MOORE, IAN N	
P.O. Box 50784				<u></u>
1201 Main Stree	et		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75250-0784			2661	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/893,100	CHOW ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
lan N. Moore	2661		

	lan N. Moore	2661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover s	neet with the correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as fil wing replies: (1) an a tice of Appeal (with ce with 37 CFR 1.11	ing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba imendment, affidavit, or other evider appeal fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) to ater than SIX MONTH (b). ONLY CHECK BO 06.07(f).	S from the mailing date of the final rejecti X (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 November 2005</u> . A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements))), or any extension	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or s		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		per of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		atics of Non Compliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		nice of Non-compliant Amendment	(I-10L-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		in a separate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro			explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejection y and was not earlie	ns under appeal and/or appellant fa r presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by the applicant arguments do not overcome the rejection.	•						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO	-1449) Paper No(s)	Mheren				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: FIG. 3 is accepted by the examiner. CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT SYMMUTED							
		CHAU NGUYI SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	EVAIMINEH				

IECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600